

Subject: [BOD] Force11 BOD Conference Call - Friday, November 13 08:00 Pacific Time (GMT -8)
Date: Thursday, November 12, 2015 at 2:40:23 PM Pacific Standard Time
From: Hagstrom, Stephanie
To: exec@force11.org Committee

Friday, November 13

08:00 Pacific Time (www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/converter.html)

Dial in numbers:

US: 1-855-733-3266 toll free

UK: 0 800 368 0628

Netherlands: 0 800 020 6088

Conference code: 988581#

Leader pin: 6945#

[Board Google Drive](#)

Agenda:

1. Transition Committee Update (formerly Nominating Committee)
- See notes from last meeting <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1os-kOCYQwbv5uQ2YiFY0bhJd-MAkmCE1LQnUv6PL1MU/edit?usp=sharing>
2. New Group Request – “Software Credit” by Belinda Weaver
www.force11.org/users/belinda-weaver (Description below)
3. NIH Funding - \$30k for working groups
- Outline procedure for informing and distribution of funds.
4. Securing Local Host for FORCE2017 – Paul
5. Scholarly Commons project update -
www.force11.org/group/scholarly-commons-working-group -
Maryann
6. FORCE2016 update - www.force2016.org – Stephanie
7. Data Citation Pilot update -
www.force11.org/group/data-citation-implementation-pilot-dcip - Maryann
8. Divini Journal Update – Anita
9. Workgroup Outcomes Project
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1NsloWFzk1UGzrI2A4hzd6hpzr1hYa5c8EKj9Bm6K7E/edit?usp=sharing> -
Cameron
10. Action Items from past meetings:
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1os-kOCYQwbv5uQ2YiFY0bhJd-MAkmCE1LQnUv6PL1MU/edit?usp=sharing>

Working Group Activities

Attribution Working Group – Melissa Haendel

Catalog Working Group – Stephanie Hagstrom

Force11-RDA BioSharing Working Group – Susanna Sansone

Resource Identification Initiative – Maryann Martone

Software citation working group – Arfon Smith

Software Credit Description:

Scientific software development is becoming ever more important, both for pushing science forward and for research reproducibility. Yet recognition and reward systems for software development are lacking. Research software development is generally not well-recognised in national research assessment exercises (even where the software IS the research output, or where research could not be done or reproduced without it), and defined, well-remunerated career paths for research software developers have not been created in many universities or research institutes. Software Credit events have recently been run by the Software Sustainability Institute in the UK <https://storify.com/shoaibsufi/software-credit-workshop-natural-history-museum-lo> and at UC Davis in the US <https://storify.com/phylogenomics/softciteucdto> highlight this issue. This blog post may also give context: <https://danielskatzblog.wordpress.com/2015/10/29/software-metrics-what-to-count-and-how/> Mozilla Science Lab's Code as a Research Object project was also in this space. <https://mozillascience.github.io/code-research-object/>.

I am not just talking about data citation, but about a sea change on the part of publishers, funders, national research evaluators and those involved in tenure and promotion in universities about the need to reward and recognise this valuable work.

I would like to build a worldwide community of people concerned about this issue so that it can be addressed with meaningful action.