

Force11 Board of Directors Meeting, Friday, November 13

08:00 Pacific Time (www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/converter.html)

[Board Google Drive](#)

In attendance: Anita, Dan, Maryann, Stephanie, Cassie

Action items in bold.

Agenda:

1. Retreat for the new and old board: plan in February; discuss funding; co-locate with Commons meeting:
 - a. **Stephanie to send around a list of dates, possibly in Miami end of Feb**
 - b. Once a date is identified small program committee will be formed with Steph, Anita and one member of the new board to put together the program
 - c. Option to use a facilitator, tbd by the retreat PC
2. Transition Committee Update (formerly Nominating Committee)
 - a. See notes from last meeting <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1os-kOCYQwbv5uQ2YiFY0bhJd-MAkmCE1LQnUv6PL1MU/edit?usp=sharing>
 - b. Election procedure is on the webpage with 2015 dates
 - c. **Cassie will create a clean undated version of the bylaws in a Google doc**
3. New Group Request – “Software Credit” by Belinda Weaver
www.force11.org/users/belinda-weaver (see description below)
 - a. Q: how does this relate to the Attribution group
<https://www.force11.org/group/attributionwg> who have a creditor role ontology, and the Software Citation group - <https://www.force11.org/group/software-citation-working-group?>
 - b. Doesn't really talk about how credit is assigned directly. Maryann: want to encourage new person to lead; not so much a technical thing, how do you reach out and educate people on tools, strategy, culture change, etc.
 - c. There is a well-established ontology for credit attribution - **Dan to lead discussion with the [TADIRAH](#) effort and connect to Melissa's group and Belinda's group**
4. NIH Funding - \$30k for working groups
 - a. Outline procedure for informing and distribution of funds.
 - b. ORCID meeting was at GitHub, Arfon was there but no chance to chat with him;
Maryann and Stephanie will talk to him about this.
5. Securing Local Host for FORCE2017 – **Paul to write up criteria for host organisation**
6. Scholarly Commons project update - www.force11.org/group/scholarly-commons-working-group
 - a. Window for location and time frame - Miami, end of Feb.

7. FORCE2016 update - www.force2016.org –(not discussed)
8. Data Citation Pilot update - www.force11.org/group/data-citation-implementation-pilot-dcip - Maryann
 - a. Maryann and Joan Starr will be supporting data citation group discussing how editors/publishers are involved
9. Divini Journal Update
 - a. We have 1 paper by Paul, adding a second by John Kitchin at CMU, **Anita to approach John**
 - b. **Anita to make a page on Force11 Homepage**
 - c. **Maryann to connect John Udell and Anita re writing an iPython notebook for Divini**
 - d. Steph: at Rocky in December all abstracts/posters can upload to ISCB channel and audience member review all papers openly: **(Steph to add link here <http://f1000research.com/iscbcommj> and <http://f1000research.com/channels/iscbcommj/ROCKY>)**
10. Workgroup Outcomes Project

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1NsloWFzk1UGzrl2A4hzd6hpzzr1hYa5c8EKj9Bm6K7E/edit?usp=sharing> (not discussed)
11. Action Items from past meetings: <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1os-kOCYQwbv5uQ2YiFY0bhJd-MAkmCE1LQnUv6PL1MU/edit?usp=sharing>
 - a. **Cassie to send around to-do's from every meeting to board**

Working Group Activities (not discussed):

- Attribution Working Group – Melissa Haendel
- Catalog Working Group – Stephanie Hagstrom
- Force11-RDA BioSharing Working Group – Susanna Sansone
- Resource Identification Initiative – Maryann Martone
- Software citation working group – Arfon Smith:
need to look at how software is cited, instead of described.

Software Credit Description:

Scientific software development is becoming ever more important, both for pushing science forward and for research reproducibility. Yet recognition and reward systems for software development are lacking. Research software development is generally not well-recognised in national research assessment exercises (even where the software IS the research output, or where research could not be done or reproduced without it), and defined, well-remunerated career paths for research software developers have not been created in many universities or research institutes. Software Credit events have recently been run by the Software Sustainability Institute in the UK <https://storify.com/shoaibsufoi/software-credit-workshop-natural-history-museum-lo> and at UC Davis in the US <https://storify.com/phylogenomics/softciteucdto> highlight this issue. This blog post may also give context: <https://danielskatzblog.wordpress.com/2015/10/29/software-metrics-what-to-count-and-how/> Mozilla Science Lab's Code as a Research Object project was also in this space. <https://mozillascience.github.io/code-research-object/>.

I am not just talking about data citation, but about a sea change on the part of publishers, funders, national research evaluators and those involved in tenure and promotion in universities about the need to reward and recognise this valuable work.

I would like to build a worldwide community of people concerned about this issue so that it can be addressed with meaningful action.