

Scholarly Commons Steering Committee Meeting

March 8, 2016

Participants: Bianca, Stephanie, Cassie, Maryann, Chris

Regrets: Robin, Ian, Jeroen

AGENDA

1. Post Workshop Next Steps
 - a. Feedback from Participants – survey
 - i. We need to send them a workshop follow-up from the Steering Committee with a survey.
 1. The survey will have three questions and we can ask them to answer at least one of them.
 - ii. **Action:** Bianca will send the survey questions to Cassie and she will make a Google form.
 - iii. **Action:** Maryann will write the email and send it around for approval from the committee.
 - b. Subgroups
 - i. [Principles group](#) – Maryann would like gather more people to review the former principles.
 - ii. Fiona Murphy offered her services to assist with the principles (may be as a consultant).
 - c. Thoughts on The Winnower proposal
 - i. Is a reward warranted?
 1. It may go against the idea of the commons.
 2. We could ask the applicants to explain what they would use the monetary incentive for if they should win.
 - ii. The contest would be sponsored by FORCE11 through the SCWG.
 1. We would pay The Winnower a fixed fee (to be negotiated) to publish all of the winning submissions (each submission would receive a DOI).
 2. The Winnower would lead the promotion of the winning submissions.
 3. We could offer the awardees \$200-500 (the prize shouldn't be so big that it is contrary to the commons).
 4. We will also need to outline the judging criteria.
 - iii. **Action:** Stephanie will schedule a meeting with Josh to discuss the proposal.
 - iv. We could then contact Thomas Hervé Mboa Nkoudou about his surveys as part of the contest (as a means to promote open access/scholarly commons in his area)

- v. **Action:** Maryann will also write a blog post about the workshop.
- d. Visualization *[postponed until Wed 9 or as soon as possible]*
 - i. **Action:** Bianca will send an email/Slack message with guidance on this.
- e. Publishing workshop [documentation/report](#) in RIO
 - i. Intro/Framing + Conclusions/next steps [Maryann, Dan]
 - ii. Editing suggestions to current document [all]
 - iii. Evaluation/commentary from YKON and Marcin [Bianca/Jeroen]
- 2. FORCE2016
 - a. Pre-conference
 - i. Frame by the question: what are we missing?
 - b. Plenary presentation (15 min talk and a poster)
- 3. Second workshop
 - a. Helmsley interim report (due March 31)
 - i. Report [outline](#)
 - ii. **Action:** Maryann will take the first pass at the report (due by March 15)
 - 1. The report will include a request for a no-cost extension to push back the 2nd workshop.

Review Action Items from previous meetings:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DpER9RHHsxFos84vvNyUD9efS1XRHVWK_apM_YLE9Pg/edit?usp=sharing