Scholarly Commons Steering Committee Meeting
March 22, 2016

Participants: Jeroen, Bianca, Robin, Ian, Stephanie, Dan, Maryann, Cassie, Chris

AGENDA

1. Post Workshop Next Steps
   a. Feedback from Participants - survey
      i. Maryann to send workshop follow up email to attendees.
   b. Visualization
      i. Explore visualization (see email Bianca) - possible use in outreach?
         1. This is still in progress. We’re trying to decide how we can best use this in the principles.
   c. Publishing workshop documentation/report in RIO
      i. Intro/Framing + Conclusions/next steps [Maryann/Dan]
         1. Action: Bianca will add the introduction to the main document and send to YKON for their input.
      ii. Editing suggestions to current document [all]
      iii. Evaluation/commentary from YKON and Marcin [Bianca/Jeroen]
   d. Taking the principles further: editing, organizing, making public, operationalization (at pre-conference workshop?)
      i. Fiona Murphy contacted Maryann and said she was interested in helping in any way that would be useful. Followed up via phone call, she’s available to assist with collating the principles.
         1. She could clean up notes, gather and collate principles, synthesize workshop materials, clean up visualization. She understands the scholarly landscape well enough to do this.
         2. We need to be careful, put boundaries on where she takes this synthesis. We don’t want her to complete this synthesis in a vacuum.
            a. Action: Robin can create a bullet list of the boundaries to outline the scope of her work and a timeline.
               i. It would be useful for her to include workshop Twitter activity, follow up blog posts, etc.
               ii. We could then ask a few workshop attendees for their feedback on this synthesis as well.
               iii. Fiona’s work should be an enabling step, not a defining step.

2. FORCE2016
   a. Pre-conference
i. We can frame the workshop by displaying what we’ve accomplished so far. Afterwards, open it up to bigger questions of what is missing and what do these things mean to get people’s interpretations.
   1. We could borrow some exercise techniques from FORCE2015’s contribution and attribution pre-workshop.
      a. Ask attendees how they would operationalize the principles
   2. Form a small working group to organize the workshop
      a. Robin and Ian will take the lead on this
      b. Plenary presentation (15 min talk and a poster, possibly a demo - visualization)
         i. Will be a split session where Bianca and Jeroen present the results of their survey followed by scholarly commons presentation.
            1. Can be a quick summary of our progress, synthesis of our work.
            2. Since the presentation is on day two, we can relate the scholarly commons to what has taken place at FORCE2016 as a whole.
            3. Pose the operationalization of the principles as a challenge to the attendees – gather ideas of what direction to act, what people to involve, etc.
         ii. Maryann will take the lead on the 15 minute presentation
      iii. **Action:** Create a shared Google slide to start working on the poster a few weeks before FORCE2016.
   3. The Winnower’s new proposal (see original Helmsley proposal)
      a. **Action:** Dan will compose a response to Josh and share it with the group.
   4. Second workshop
   5. **Helmsley interim report** (due March 31)

Review Action Items from previous meetings:
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DpER9RHHsxFos84vvNvLyUD9efS1XRHVWK_apM_YLE9Pg/edit?usp=sharing