AGENDA

1. FORCE2016 Updates
   a. Were there efforts at OSI that are similar to Scholarly Commons?
      i. It’s not a bad idea to have multiple groups working on similar things, but we want those groups to be aware of each other.
      ii. There’s a core of commonality targeting different areas.
      iii. Additionally, OSI doesn’t have continuing work happening between conferences.
      iv. It would be a good idea to reach out to Glenn Hampson on behalf of this program, especially with the second workshop.
   b. Action: Jeroen will draft a blog as a conference outcome.
   c. Action: Robin will make the pre-conference materials available.
      i. This workshop was very reproducible; we could build this into interdisciplinary conferences.
      1. Would help us gather various perspectives
      2. Is there a venue where we could publish the workshop materials/outline as a kit to make it widely available?
         a. RIO, Figshare, Zenodo, etc.
      ii. Action: send an email out to the WG, thanking them for their participation, etc.

2. Second Workshop
   a. Doodle poll dates
      i. Dates everyone can make it: Sept. 1-2 and Sept. 6-8
      ii. We could schedule it close to RDA. This would allow easier travel for people also attending RDA, especially for people located overseas.
         1. Sept. 19-20 or 21
         2. Action: we will check on venues for these dates and finalize them at the next meeting.
   b. Will likely be in San Diego, CA

3. Post Workshop Next Steps
   a. Fiona’s work in looking at the principles
      i. How should we proceed here?
         1. If we can distill down what needs to happen next, then we could create another statement of work for Fiona.
a. Action: Review Fiona’s work and create 3 bullet points of our next steps - all

b. RIO journal [in progress]: include paragraph on Fiona’s work?
   i. Bianca and Jeroen would like to use some of Fiona’s work and build on it within the RIO piece.

c. Map of the commons [incl. call for visualization ideas?]  
   i. Chris created this document. How and when do we want to proceed with this?
      1. We should review our current visualizations before deciding what is best to do next.
      2. Once we have a decent set of data sets, we should issue a call for a multidisciplinary visualization contest.
         a. Should gather a team of people from different disciplines, we could take a sort of matchmaking approach if we assemble the teams.
            i. Action: Dan will write up his thoughts on the competition and matchmaking approach to share with the group.
            ii. Our next meeting will be devoted to how we want to solicit this map.

Review action items from previous meetings:
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DpER9RHHsxFos84vvNyUD9efS1XHRVWK_apM_YLE9Pg/edit?usp=sharing