

# Scholarly Commons Steering Committee Meeting

October 26, 2015

Participants: Jeroen Bosman, Robin Champieux, Chris Chapman, Stephanie Hagstrom, Bianca Kramer, Maryann Martone, Dan O'Donnell

## AGENDA

### 1. Approval Web page: <https://www.force11.org/group/scholarly-commons-working-group>

- Dan wrote content – only controversial text is 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph
  - Landscape sentence – Dan rephrased
  - Everything has been implemented as suggested
- Stephanie can give admin rights to editing the working group (WG) pages to anyone – if anyone wants access, please contact Stephanie
- This is a dynamic page and can be changed
- We can upload documents either directly to the group page or to the group's [Google Drive](#) (not embedded on main page anymore)
  - Better to keep all files/links in one consolidated area
- Should we explain the Scholarly Commons WG's relationship with the [Commons WG](#) (see 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph on group home)?
  - **Action:** Robin will add a link on the group home page to the Commons WG
- We can also have more than one group leader if desired
- How do we want to structure the group? Should we actively promote it?
  - Another WG sends out invitations and also has it open to the community
  - Stephanie will add a note about it in the FORCE11 newsletter
    - **Action:** Chris will write an announcement paragraph to be included in the newsletter and send it to Dan to review
    - It is okay to be added in newsletter, but we should wait a couple weeks to actively recruit members
      - The group should be more defined before we recruit members from outside

### 2. Open Science Framework: Call with Brian Nosek next Friday

- There will be multiple groups that claim to have a commons platform
- Open Science Framework expressed interested in a hosting workshop
  - Logistically, getting internationally-located people to an event in North Carolina will not be easy
  - Brian could possibly lead one of the satellite meetings
  - We want to encourage his participation but keep in mind that there may be other organizations/platforms that claim to have a similar commons framework

### 3. Workshop 1 and satellite events: (see also <https://goo.gl/Wo8Lml>)

- a. **When?** – First workshop should occur in January or February 2016
- b. **Where?** – Hub city
- c. **Who?** [Invite List](#)
- d. **How?** – 3 scenarios of how it could be run (please see [Google doc](#)): 1) Workshop and satellite events have the same format, 2) “Train the trainer” event, and 3) Planned outcome
  - All models are interesting, blending them is a good idea as they are open for flexibility

- For example, OpenCon used online training programs so meeting participants attended under training first
- Do all of these need to be done at once or over a certain amount of time?
- If these are well defined groups, we could ask them what they prefer (format 1, 2, or 3)
- Is there anything participants could do (as delegates) before they attend the meetings? Act as representatives of their respective communities (proposed scenario 4)
  - This would allow for deep discussions during the event
  - They'll prepare for first workshop by going to their communities and bringing their thoughts/comments to workshop
  - We could put out a call for ideas
  - If we take this approach, it won't be "from scratch"
    - How would Helmsley react to this set up? We will have to ask permission but they should fine with it
- We want the foundational workshop first, but we do not need to have all of the satellite events occur simultaneously (could be within a two-month period, for example)
- Possible meeting models:
  - [Dagstuhl workshop](#)
    - Maryann will try to find the notes from the meeting – we could borrow elements of this meeting model
  - [TriangleSCI Meeting](#)
    - The triangle model was beneficial as it included multiple workshops
    - Con: wasn't focused on producing outcomes
    - Could have a brainstorming launch with a potential outcome of additional group meetings
    - Should include more time for collaboration/cross-pollination
      - Could have brainstorming sessions followed by a larger group discussion
  - We can bring these meeting ideas to the meeting steering committee
- Next steps:
  - **Action:** assemble a steering committee for workshops – we need good representation of members across disciplines
    - Change name to Workshop Organizing Committee

**4. Steering Committee - who should we add?**

**5. Timing of these calls: could we plan these 2 or 3 hours later? For Jeroen and Bianca that would be much more convenient. (JB)**

- **Action:** change meeting time to Tuesdays at 11:00 am PT

<Meeting adjourned>

**6. Timing of the workshops: I suggest we explore these dates: January 26/27 for W1 and April 16/17 for W2. Are these one-day or two-day workshops. If we want to have everybody attending we should at least tentatively fix the date for W1 now and ask people to reserve that while we make the necessary arrangements. (JB) Stephanie wants to know**

**7. Proposal: let's create Gdocs where we can drop ideas, to do lists, etc. for both workshops (JB)**

**8. Anything else?**