

Scholarly Commons Steering Committee Meeting

November 10, 2015

Participants: Jeroen Bosman, Ian Bruno, Robin Champieux, Chris Chapman, Stephanie Hagstrom, Cassie Kling, Bianca Kramer, Maryann Martone (chair), Dan O'Donnell

Regrets: Amy Buckland, Sarah Callaghan

AGENDA

- 1) **Open Science Framework: recap of call with Brian Nosek**
- 2) **ORCID; recap from ORCID meeting**
 - a) General theme: everyone was very optimistic, positive
 - i) Validated idea that the Scholarly Commons effort is necessary
 - ii) Maryann had breakfast with Brook Rosenzweig of Helmsley – if we accomplish what we proposed in this grant, Helmsley would be willing to fund outreach and more opportunities for community engagement
- 3) **Working Group Members - recruit more?** www.force11.org/group/6723/members
- 4) **Steering Committee (SC) - should we add more.**
 - a) We can draw from Sarah's 1K challenge proposal www.force11.org/node/6212
- 5) **Workshop 1 - Establish Steering Committee for this event**
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1AViXjEDwMcfLV9gA395brfBogZCgkKYCT1Tlzc9l-go>
 - a) **When?** – February 2016 would be more practical than January
 - b) **Where? Meeting Ideas**
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1pt9l8Yl21prbmkeZDgUxfoL6hCW5znmz5xi1QUcuHQ4/edit>
 - i) Tone of Leslie's email was wrong for the futures workshop. It said technology isn't really an aspect of FORCE11 but FORCE11 *is* about technology
 - ii) Consensus of the last SC meeting was that the workshop should be held in a non-Anglo Saxon country, or at least, not the US
 - (1) Having it in the US or UK and inviting members from developing countries would force those members participate on our terms
 - (a) Outside the US would allow for a freer, more equal conversation
 - (b) We have enough funding to hold it in a lower-income country
 - (c) It would send a strong message to have our first workshop outside the US
 - iii) We don't want current barriers creeping into this meeting – would be a factor for a futures workshop
 - (1) The logistics of getting everyone to a meeting in a lower income country would be much more difficult – attendance would lower
 - (2) Having it in the Anglo-sphere will maximize the workshop participation
 - (a) Bianca will be meeting many international delegates this week - she could get a feel on people's opinion on this

- iv) It is important to involve lower income minority communities (LIMC) – Caribbean locations could be an epicenter for Latin American participation
 - (1) We could hold it in a place like Miami – it's accessible to Latin American countries
 - (a) Since Helmsley is a US-funder, we don't want to overload on the cost of hosting it somewhere other than the US
 - (i) Symbolically, it would be important to have meeting in Caribbean area
 - (b) It does not need to be held at a university, it could be a rented space instead
- v) **Action:** The group will investigate a limited number of locations further: Miami, Buenos Aires, Athens, East Asia (perhaps Hong Kong or India)
 - (1) If we consider Europe or US, it should probably be in southern parts due to weather
 - (2) We should talk to Scott Edmunds for recommendations on Asian locations
 - (3) **Action:** Post question to FORCE11 discussion forum and tweet about it to gather thoughts/feedback
- c) **Who? – [Invite List](#)**
 - i) Number?
 - (1) Minimum 40, Maximum 60?
 - (a) Group agreed that no more than 60 would be best
 - (b) Main thing we want to have at the first meeting is representation – if we include all of the people we've been discussing, we can reach 50 easily
 - ii) Invitee list
 - (1) Thought leaders: we don't want simply the same people we usually have, especially we are encouraging international participation
 - (a) Should even emphasize people outside of academia (e.g. Wikimedia)
 - (b) We should keep in mind that we can invite different people to each meeting
 - (2) Criteria for selecting invitees
 - (a) The attendee should be able to talk/think freely, without an agenda
 - (i) How deeply does an invitee need to know the scholarship vs. the technology?
 - (b) How many need to be scholars themselves? 50%
 - (i) We want seasoned scholars but also young ones
 - (ii) Early career researchers – should tap into this group
 - 1. We could offer travel scholarship to students
 - (c) Passion to change the system without grumbling
 - (d) Representation across fields
 - (e) We need to make sure that we engage people that may not be well know (for example, students and postdocs who have formed their own journal clubs, or informal organizations, etc.)
 - (i) They don't have an international voice but they do possess relevant knowledge and opinions
 - (ii) How would we identify these people?
 - 1. Nomination process
 - 2. An open call

- (iii) **Action:** Robin will articulate this group further with plans on how we can access them
 - (f) Program modeled on JDDCP
 - (i) We should look at other groups that have made similar declarations, charters, etc. – this is a set of people who should attend
 - 1. **Action:** Bianca and Jeroen will review their list
 - (3) Summary: Targeting 40-60, heavy representation from scholars (those producing scholarly content) with a portion of this group representing “hidden gems,” percentage that is not scholar driven (deep thinkers outside of academia but can speak freely), representation across different fields,
 - (a) We can ask for additional recommendations from invitees
 - (4) **Action:** formalize the criteria to evaluate invitees
- d) **How?**
- i) Are we going to be the SC for the first workshop rather than bring in others?
 - (1) We should broaden the group – the current SC is too small at the moment
 - (2) We could issue a call saying that we’re planning the workshop and invite people to join – if there are a lot of people, we may need to separate into sub groups
 - (a) Make sure to describe the different workshops in the call
 - (b) We want to attract people who have a passion for structuring the workshop
 - (c) Ask what they are interested in and why they want to participate
 - (d) **Action:** issue call for volunteers on FORCE11 website
 - (i) Idea of time/effort needed:
 - 1. The meeting will end at the end of Feb.
 - 2. It may be two days long
 - 3. Will be a fair amount of intense work right after the holidays with weekly conference calls
 - ii) Format – day of meeting
 - (1) We’ll have to be very creative
 - (2) Model off of [Dagstuhl Perspectives Workshop](#)
 - (a) Seemed like an effective and efficient method of discussion, produced outcomes
 - (b) The workshop established ground rules – people needed to know what they were designing and what was out of bounds
 - (3) Are there people who could help us with this, i.e., facilitators?
 - (a) Would be useful to have interviews with facilitator candidates
 - (b) The meeting needs to be facilitated but if we come up with something very creative, we may need to have a training session for the facilitator prior to the workshop
 - (c) Alec Gunn – had a sense of fun around him in the way that he runs meetings
 - (i) Maryann can contact him if the group would like
- 6) **Review Action Items from previous meetings**
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DpER9RHHsxFos84vvNyUD9efS1XRHVWK_apM_YLE9Pq/edit?usp=sharing